



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.             | FILING DATE                           | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 14/355,533                  | 04/30/2014                            | Christopher L. Boyd  | JMG001-01           | 3995             |
|                             | 7590 11/04/2010<br>& ASSOCIATES, P.C. | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |
| P. O. BOX 130<br>DRIFTWOOD, |                                       |                      | RUSSELL, DEVON L    |                  |
|                             |                                       |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                             |                                       |                      | 3744                |                  |
|                             |                                       |                      | NOTIFICATION DATE   | DELIVERY MODE    |
|                             |                                       |                      | 11/04/2016          | FI ECTRONIC      |

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jvm@jvmyers.com jp@jvmyers.com aap@jvmyers.com



| Case 6:24-cv-00166-ADA Do   | ocument 31-4 Filed 11/25   |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 0/// 4 // 0   | <b>Application No.</b> 14/355,533  | Applicant(s) BOYD ET AL.   |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner<br>DEVON RUSSELL  | Art Unit AIA (First Inventor to File) Status No                                      |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply   | appears on the cover sheet with the  | ne correspondence address  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by standard reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | Table 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply to the control of the control o | pe timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |
| Status  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 4.   | <u>/30/14</u> .  |  |  |  |  |
| A declaration(s)/affidavit(s) under <b>37 CFR</b>   | <b>1.130(b)</b> was/were filed on  | <u></u> :  |  |  |  |
| 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ 7   | This action is non-final.  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) An election was made by the applicant in re  | An election was made by the applicant in response to a restriction requirement set forth during the interview on   |  |  |  |  |
| ; the restriction requirement and election have been incorporated into this action.  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims*  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the applicat 5a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an * If any claims have been determined allowable, you may b participating intellectual property office for the correspondin http://www.uspto.gov/patents/init_events/pph/index.jsp or s  Application Papers                               | drawn from consideration.  ad/or election requirement.  be eligible to benefit from the <b>Patent</b> land application. For more information,  | please see   |  |  |  |
| 10) The specification is objected to by the Exam  | niner.   |  |  |  |  |
| 11) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>4/30/14</u> is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to   | the drawing(s) be held in abeyance.  | See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor  | rection is required if the drawing(s) is   | objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore Certified copies:  a) All b) Some** c) None of the:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bur  ** See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies.   | nents have been received.<br>nents have been received in Appl<br>priority documents have been rec<br>reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  | ication No   |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attach manufa)  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  3) Interview Summary (PTO-413)   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08a and/or P   | Paper No(s)/Ma   | ail Date   |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 14/355,533 Page 2

Art Unit: 3744

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 112(b):
   (b) CONCLUSION.—The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the inventor or a joint inventor regards as the invention.
  - The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 112 (pre-AIA), second paragraph: The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 2 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112(b) or 35 U.S.C. 112 (pre-AIA), second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which the inventor or a joint inventor, or for pre-AIA the applicant regards as the invention.

The term "tightly co-located" is not sufficiently understood, or specially defined by the specification, for its metes and bounds to be definite.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 14/355,533

Art Unit: 3744

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.

- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 5. Claims 1-10 are rejected under pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) as anticipated by Best (US 2011/0132579) or, in the alternative, under pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Best in view of Pfahnl et al. (US 2006/0126292).

Regarding claims 1 and 6, Best teaches an appliance immersion cooling system comprising: a tank (e.g. 610, 710, 810) adapted to immerse (622, 722, 822) in a dielectric fluid (Para. [0025]) a plurality of electrical appliances (120), each in a respective appliance slot (Figs. 4, 6, 11) distributed vertically along, and extending transverse to, a long wall of the tank (L; e.g. Figs. 3-4), the tank comprising: a weir, integrated horizontally into the long wall of the tank adjacent all appliance slots, adapted to facilitate substantially uniform recovery of the dielectric fluid flowing through each appliance slot (Para. [0102]; the "common manifold area" defined by the tops of the slots and the devices 120, 820);

a primary circulation facility adapted to circulate the dielectric fluid through the tank (see 440/450, 540/550, etc.), comprising: a plenum, positioned adjacent the bottom of the tank, adapted to dispense the dielectric fluid substantially uniformly upwardly through each appliance slot (Para. [0086]; Figs. 5-6; H<sub>L</sub>); a secondary fluid circulation

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 14/355,533

Art Unit: 3744

facility adapted to extract heat from the dielectric fluid circulating in the primary circulation facility, and to dissipate to the environment the heat so extracted (150; 250; 350); and a control facility adapted to coordinate the operation of the primary and secondary fluid circulation facilities as a function of the temperature of the dielectric fluid in the tank (380, 370; Fig. 2, "temp data").

If it is not accepted that Best positively discloses the weir, Pfahnl also teaches a cooling system wherein a dielectric fluid (air) as a coolant fully surrounds a rack (see figures) of electrical appliances (servers) in a tank (118), wherein the dielectric fluid is introduced (via 110a) into a plenum (110) positioned adjacent the bottom of the tank, adapted to dispense the dielectric fluid substantially uniformly upwardly through each appliance slot (as directed by openings 122) and a weir, integrated horizontally into the long wall of the tank adjacent all appliance slots (the bottom wall of 112 with openings 122)adapted to facilitate substantially uniform recovery of the dielectric fluid flowing through each appliance slot (via 122).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to form the manifold of Best with the weir formed as a bottom wall of said manifold with holes opening between each of the electronic device slots, as taught by Pfahnl, such that the dielectric fluid would still be directed in its proper flow direction despite the lack of a full rack of electronic devices.

Best further teaches that: the tank and primary circulation facility comprise a tightly co-located module (the devices are all inherently physically connected to one

Application/Control Number: 14/355,533 Page 5

Art Unit: 3744

another and located in the same facility; see also Figs. 1B or 11), as per claims 2 and 7; interconnect panel facilities adapted to mount appliance support equipment (840), as per claims 3 and 8; first and second primary circulation sub-facilities (880 on the left/right of tank; e.g. Fig. 14), each adapted to operate independently to circulate the dielectric fluid through the tank (see Fig. 14), the control facility is further adapted to coordinate the operation of the first and second primary circulation sub-facilities and the secondary fluid circulation facilities to maintain the temperature of the dielectric fluid in the tank substantially between a predetermined minimum temperature and a predetermined maximum temperature (Para. [0082]), as per claims 4 and 9; and, the control facility (380) further comprises a communication facility (its software; Para. [0082] which performs the communications) adapted to facilitate monitoring and control of the control facility from a remote location (Para. [0081]-[0082]), as per claims 5 and 10.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DEVON RUSSELL whose telephone number is (571)270-1858. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th, 9-4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alex Elve can be reached on 571.272.1173. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 14/355,533 Page 6

Art Unit: 3744

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/DEVON RUSSELL/ Examiner, Art Unit 3744